



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/749,993	12/28/2000	Addison A. Barksdale	BELL-0071/00276	6873	
52270	12/19/2005		EXAMINER		
POTOMAC PATENT GROUP, PLLC			CAMPEN, KELLY SCAGGS		
P.O. BOX 270	SBURG, VA 22404		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
THE BANGE ON OF THE BETTE			3624		

DATE MAILED: 12/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
09/749,993	BARKSDALE ET AL.	BARKSDALE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Kelly Campen	3624			

	Kelly Campen	3624						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 14 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.						
this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Nota Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ne reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of is application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which aces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecting FIRST REPLY WAS Foliations and the appropriations in the section of the section o	on. ILED WITHIN te extension fee					
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension for under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely file may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  AMENDMENTS								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re		the issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		41	nt consoling the					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).	·	-						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		il be entered and an e	explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	•							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-21</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	t before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	t be entered s necessary and					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Examiner disagrees with regards to the Peters et al. reference. Applicant's arguments recapitulate and previous arguments..

VINCENT MILLIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Vineens Mille